

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CoMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
EXAMPLE OF THE STATE O

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/063,049	03/14/2002	Nicholas A. Ryza	TEX1100	2201	
25094 7	25094 7590 12/12/2003			EXAMINER	
GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP 1221 SOUTH MOPAC EXPRESSWAY			DUDA, KATHLEEN		
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX 78746-6875			1756	******	

1756
DATE MAILED: 12/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A 0-0-0-1	A			
€ - €	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/063,049	RYZA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication ann	Kathleen Duda	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicant to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1024	1 <u>2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 12162002 5) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/063,049

Art Unit: 1756

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-25 in Paper No. 10242003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the search for the apparatus requires the search of the apparatus class which is not required for the method.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeSimone (US Patent 5,783,082).

DeSimone teaches a process of cleaning. Example 6 teaches cleaning a photoresist layer. The photoresist layer is cleaned using a method as

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/063,049

Art Unit: 1756

described in Example 1. The cleaning occurs using supercritical carbon dioxide.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have cleaned a pseudo plastic layer using supercritical fluid without damaging (or removing the layer) because DeSimone teaches excellent cleaning of a photoresist layer used in the production of electrical devices.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner K. Duda at (703) 308-2292. Official FAX communications should be sent to (703) 872-9306. As of December 12, 2003, the examiner can be reached at (571) 272-1383.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist at (703) 308-0661.

Kathleen Duda Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

Page 3